Recent Developments in On-board Charging Approaches for Electric Vehicles

FREEDM Symposium 2023

Tomas Sadilek and Peter Barbosa Milan M. Jovanović Power Electronics Lab. (MPEL) 2/21/2023



Outline

□ History of EV

- **EV Structure & OBC Architectures**
 - AC-DC Approaches
 - DC-DC Approaches



Acknowledgements:

Peter Barbosa Misha Kumar Boyi Zhang Nidhi Haryani



A Brief History of Electric Vehicles



1832 – First Crude Electric Vehicle is Developed

Not practical until 1870s

Picture – 1884 prototype in England

1900s – Electric Cars Reach Their Heyday

By the turn of the century. electric vehicles are all the rage in the US, accounting for \sim a 1/3 of all vehicles on the road

5th Avenue, Manhattan





1910 – Model T Deals a

widely available and

affordable.

introduced.

Model T : \$650

Blow to Electric Vehicles

The mass-produces Model T makes gas-powered cars

1920-1935 – Decline in **Electric Vehicles**

Better roads and discovery of cheap Texas crude oil help contribute to the decline in electric vehicles. By 1935, they have all but disappeared.

Picture of gasoline filling station that popped up across the US





Electric cars: \$1,750

https://archive.curbed.com/2017/9/22/16346892/electric-car-history-fritchle Public

https://www.energy.gov/articles/history-electric-car

A Brief History of Electric Vehicles



1968 - 1973 – Gas Prices Soar

Over the next 30 years, cheap and abundant gasoline and improvements in ICE created little need for alternatives. In the 60's and 70's, soaring gas prices stirred interest in EVs again



1974 - 1977 – Leader in Electric Vehicle Sales

Sebring-Vanguard's CitiCar – a wedge-shaped compact car that had a range of 50-60 miles. 4,000 cars produced.

6-HP motor!



1996 – EV1 Gains a Cult Following

GM releases the EV1. Range 100 miles. 137 HP electric motor. IGBT inverter!





1997 – First Mass-Produced Hybrid

Toyota introduces the first massproduced hybrid. Instant success!

2006 – Silicon Valley Startup Takes on Electric Cars

Tesla Motors, a Silicon Valley startup, announces it will produce a luxury electric sports car with a range of 200+ miles. Other automakers takes note...



A Brief History of Electric Vehicles



https://electrek.co/2023/01/09/the-top-10-best-selling-electric-vehicles-in-the-us-of-2022/



Electric Vehicle – Power Train and Charging Structure





https://www.willbyers.com/blog/history-of-electric-cars



• Charging of early EVs (1900s) : dangerous business at home (mercury-arc valve)

https://www.mouser.com/applications/building-ev-infrastructure/

- Major components over ICE vehicles: OBC (AC-DC + DC-DC), battery pack, traction drive, electric motor, APM
 DC/DC (auxiliary power module)
- Universal voltage input, wide battery voltage range, variable power, regenerative power



6 Public

Evolution of On-board Chargers and Auxiliary Power Modules



- Takeaway: increased power and power density, integration of functions, new capabilities
- DOE stretch-goal for 2025: OBC 98% efficient



https://www.freep.com/story/money/ cars/mark-phelan/2021/04/05/2024gmc-electric-hummersuv/7093724002/



OBC Structure Classification



- 2-stage or 1-stage
 - **2-stage** approach: DC link to decouple dynamics of AC-DC and DC-DC stages
 - **1-stage** approach: unified control, no or soft DC link
- Modular or integrated architecture
 - **Modular** approach: each grid phase has its own converter (independent control)
 - Integrated approach: treat grid as a true 3-ph source \rightarrow 3-ph PFC
- **Mechanical** or **magnetic** integration of OBC and APM
 - **Mechanical** integration: same enclosure, PCB, controller but switches/magnetics independent
 - **Magnetic** integration: combine functions into a single multi-port converter to save on switches/magnetics
- Hard-switching vs. soft-switching, battery voltage range, PFC input current conduction mode, ...
- Many, many approaches \rightarrow very active research area







Stock image (Credit: Shutterstock)

OBC = Universal Power Converter (2-Stage Approach)



• AC-DC PFC:

- 1-ph grid connections: 85 264 V_{RMS}
- 3-ph connections: 350 535 V_{RMS}
- DC-DC output:
 - 150 500 V (low-voltage battery)
 - 500 950 V (high-voltage battery)
- DC-AC V2L mode:

charger

- Split-phase output (2 x 120 V_{RMS})
- Constant DC link beneficial for 1-ph/3-ph (to optimize DC-DC)
- Extremely demanding requirements power density, efficiency, cost, software features
- Compare to typical converters: server power supply, laptop



Integrated OBC – 1-ph (2-Stage Approach)



- Industry-standard 2-stage, unidirectional solution
- DC link filters 120-Hz ripple
- PFC:
 - CCM hard-switching PFC
 - Duty-cycle control
- DC-DC:
 - Typically full-bridge soft-switching LLC
 - Variable-frequency control
 - Burst mode at light load



Integrated OBC – 1-ph/3-ph Combo (2-Stage Approach)



- Relay reconfiguration between 1-ph and 3-ph AC input
- 3-ph: 6-switch PFC
- 1-ph: 3x interleaved totem-pole PFC
- Hard-switching CCM
- Constant PFC output at 800 V

- DC-DC:
 - Full-bridge soft-switching LLC
 - Variable-frequency control
 - Burst mode at light load
- Total OBC efficiency: 95-96%



Modular 1-ph/3-ph Combo OBC (2-Stage Approach)



• **PFC**:

- CCM hard-switching PFC
- Duty-cycle control

• DC-DC:

- Full-bridge soft-switching LLC
- Variable-frequency control
- Burst mode at light load
- Simple and robust control, fault tolerance
- Higher cost (devices, DC links, DSPs,

transformers, ...) \rightarrow upcoming EV price war?



1-ph/3-ph Combo CCM Soft-switching PFC (2-Stage Approach)





- 3-ph / interleaved 1-ph PFC combo (relays)
- Increase power density → reduce the size of boost chokes → increase switching frequency
- Increase efficiency → decrease R_{DSON} → increase switching loss
- Solution: soft-switching
- CCM preferred due to control simplicity and filter design
- Target switching frequency: ~150 kHz (EMI starts at 150 kHz), 5.6 kW/L
- DC link: ~ 800V
- V2L mode split-phase output voltage

T. Sadilek, L. Huber, Y. Jang, P. Barbosa and I. Husain, "Analysis, Design, and Performance Evaluation of SiC Active Soft-Switching Cell for 1-ph/3-ph Universal Voltage Input PFC for On-Board Charger Applications," in IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 1204-1217, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2022.3199204.

PWM-controlled Series Resonant Converter (2-Stage Approach)



- Series-Resonant Converter (SRC) vs. LLC: magnetizing inductance L_M in high (100x larger than L_R)
- Resonant converters can operate at fixed switching frequency
- **PWM-controlled Series Resonant Converter** with wide output voltage range
- Duty-cycle control on the secondary side
- **Resonant point A**: D_{QSR1} = D_{QSR2} = 50% (full-bridge rectifier)
- Resonant point B: D_{QSR1} = 100%, D_{QSR2} = 50% (half-bridge rectifier)

J. -W. Kim and P. Barbosa, "PWM-Controlled Series Resonant Converter for Universal Electric Vehicle Charger," in IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 36, no. 12, pp. 13578-13588, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2021.3072991.



Current-source Battery Charger (2-Stage Approach)



- LCL immitance network $(L_1 = L_2)$
- Single-resonant frequency and single operating frequency
- Constant phase-shift between primary and secondary sides
- Current source property output voltage does not affect output current
- Constant PFC output link at 800 V: vary resonant tank voltage excitation by phase-shifting primary-side SHBs to control output current
- ZVS of all devices (GaN), planar magnetics at 500 kHz (7.3 kW/L)

S. Mukherjee, J. M. Ruiz and P. Barbosa, "A High Power Density Wide Range DC–DC Converter for Universal Electric Vehicle Charging," in IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 1998-2012, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2022.3217092.



1-Stage Approach Example



- Constant-frequency, constant duty cycle approach (phase shift-control)
- 3-ph \rightarrow no power flow fluctuation
- 1-ph \rightarrow module C is used as active power filter
- Soft-switching, 150 kHz
- No DC link (CB = several uF)
- Single-stage approach: effectively more or the same number of devices, strong performance coupling between input and output voltage since there is no decoupling stage

[1] H. Kim, J. Park, S. Kim, R. M. Hakim, H. Belkamel, and S. Choi, "A Single-Stage Electrolytic Capacitor-less EV Charger with Single- and Three-Phase Compatibility," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 8993, no. c, pp. 1–1, 2021, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2021.3127010.



Magnetic Integration of DC-DC and APM (2-Stage Approach)



M. Kumar, P. M. Barbosa, J. M. Ruiz, J. Minli and S. Hao, "Isolated Three-Port Bidirectional DC-DC Converter for Electric Vehicle Applications," 2022 IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC), Houston, TX, USA, 2022, pp. 2000-2007, doi: 10.1109/APEC43599.2022.9773690.



- Combine OBC DC-DC and APM into a single multi-port network → single magnetic component + fewer bridges!
- Many different ways to do so, suitable way: SRC + DAB
- $V_{BUS} = PFC$ output (400 V)
- HV battery 270 V 430 V
- LV battery 9 V 15 V (high current!)



Vehicle-to-Load (V2L) Operation



ENHANCED PRO POWER ONBOARD

Turn your truck into a generator. Pro Power Onboard offers an available 9.6 kW of max power provided through 11 outlets. No matter the job, the 9.6 kW version offers four 120V outlets in the front trunk, two in the cab, and four in the bed. The bed also features a 240V outlet for the tougher tasks at hand. * *See owner's manual for important operating instructions.









• Some EV manufacturers aim to provide inverter mode to support local loads



Summary

- Automotive power electronics for on-board charging has seen tremendous changes in power level and functional requirements over the past decade
- Academia and industry are exhaustively searching the research space for optimal solution(s)
- For now, 2-stage solution seems a better choice
- Delta Power Electronics is a major player in market... MPEL focuses on EV area















Recent Developments in On-board Charging Approaches for Electric Vehicles

FREEDM Symposium 2023

Tomas Sadilek and Peter Barbosa Milan M. Jovanović Power Electronics Lab. (MPEL) 2/21/2023

